



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/690,579	10/17/2	000	Michiaki Yoneda	202704US6	3450
22850	7590 07/09/2004			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE	PIVAK, MCC STREET	BATES, KEVIN T			
	IIA, VA 2231	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2155	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

BEST AVAILABLE CUP

Y

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/690,579	YONEDA, MICHIAKI						
Advisory Addidir	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kevin Bates	2155						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most part of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the interest of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:								
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		•						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme								
10.☐ Other: SEST AVAILABLE		Fatrice Winder						
	C= -	PATRICE WINDER RIMARY EXAMINER						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regards to claim 1, the reference Keller, teaches an information processing device that stores the information about the capacity of an item in the database along with that item, for the purpose of being able to display it later and in regards to claim 31, the reference Idia, discloses by allowing the user to control the printing conditions such as jacket design it controls whether certain information is going to be printed on that jacket, thus giving the user control over printing those certain details.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY